Severity and impairment of allergic rhinitis in patients consulting in primary care
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Background: Allergic rhinitis is a disease impairing quality of life, sleep, and work. A new classification for allergic rhinitis, Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA), has recently been proposed. Objective: To study the effect of allergic rhinitis using ARIA definitions to determine severity and duration. Methods: A total of 3052 patients consulting general practitioners for allergic rhinitis were studied. Patients were classified according to the 4 classes of ARIA. In all patients, quality of life (Rhinoconjunctivitis Quality-of-Life Questionnaire), sleep (Jenkins questionnaire), and work performance (Allergy-Specific Work Productivity and Activity Impairment questionnaire) were assessed. Results: Mild intermittent rhinitis was diagnosed in 11% of the patients, mild persistent rhinitis in 8%, moderate/severe intermittent rhinitis in 35%, and moderate/severe persistent rhinitis in 46%. The severity of rhinitis has more of an effect on quality of life, sleep, daily activities, and work performance than the duration of rhinitis. In moderate/severe rhinitis, more than 80% of patients report impaired activities, as opposed to only 40% with mild rhinitis. Conclusion: It seems that the term moderate/severe should be replaced by severe. A study in the general population is necessary, however, to assess the prevalence of the 4 ARIA classes of allergic rhinitis, especially in patients who are not consulting physicians for their symptoms. (J Allergy Clin Immunol 2006;117:158-62.)
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The recent Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) recommendations have proposed a new classification for allergic rhinitis. 1 Previously, allergic rhinitis was subdivided on the basis of the time of exposure into seasonal, perennial, and occupational diseases. 2-4 However, this classification did not appear to be entirely satisfactory for the following reasons: (1) there are many places where pollens and molds are perennial allergens, 5,6 (2) symptoms of perennial allergy may not always be present all year round because of the seasonality of perennial allergens, 7 (3) the majority of patients are sensitized to many different allergens and therefore present symptoms throughout the year, 8 (4) many patients allergic to pollen are also allergic to molds, and it is difficult to define the pollen season, 9 and (5) because of the priming effect on the nasal mucosa induced by low levels of pollen allergens 10 and minimal persistent inflammation of the nose in patients with symptom-free rhinitis 11,12 symptoms often persist for periods longer than allergen exposure.

Thus, a major change in the subdivision of allergic rhinitis was proposed in ARIA with the terms intermittent and persistent. 1 It was shown that the classic types of seasonal and perennial rhinitis cannot be used interchangeably with the new classification of intermittent/persistent, because they do not represent the same stratum of disease. There is also evidence that the persistent type describes a distinct group with characteristics that differentiate them from intermittent allergic rhinitis. 13-15 The ARIA guidelines have also proposed a new grading of severity (mild and moderate/severe). It is now recognized that allergic rhinitis is made up of more than the classic symptoms of sneezing, rhinorrhea, and nasal obstruction. Allergic rhinitis is associated with impairments in how patients function in day-to-day life at home, at work, and in school. 16 Patients may also be bothered by sleep disorders, emotional problems, impairment in activities, and social functioning. However, it is not known whether and to what extent quality of life (QOL) scores, work impairment, or sleep can be altered according to the severity and duration of rhinitis.

A study was performed with 3052 patients consulting general practitioners for allergic rhinitis to assess the impairment incurred by allergic rhinitis. Patients were
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classified according to the 4 classes of ARIA (mild intermittent, mild persistent, moderate/severe intermittent, and moderate/severe persistent).

In all patients, QOL was assessed by using the Rhinocconjunctivitis Quality-of-Life Questionnaire (RQLQ).17,18 Sleep was assessed by using the Jenkins questionnaire, and work performance was measured by using the Allergy-Specific Work Productivity and Activity Impairment (WPAI-AS) questionnaire.18,19

METHODS

Patients

A total of 3052 patients aged between 18 and 80 years were recruited from 811 general practitioners randomly selected from the national list. All patients fulfilled the following inclusion criteria: (1) patients had allergic rhinitis for at least the past 3 years, (2) the diagnosis of allergic rhinitis was based on symptoms according to criteria proposed in the International Consensus of Rhinitis2 and 55.4% had a demonstrated diagnosis of allergy using skin prick tests or allergen specific IgE or Phadiatop (Pharmacia Diagnostics, Uppsala, Sweden),20 and (3) 59.7% of the patients were currently being treated or allergen specific IgE or Phadiatop (Pharmacia Diagnostics, Uppsala, Sweden),20 and (3) 59.7% of the patients were currently being treated for allergic rhinitis symptoms. Among the treated patients, 82.4% received oral antihistamines, 22.8% intranasal corticosteroids, and 19.4% various other treatments.

The patients were enrolled during a year and were selected from all regions of France to rule out any geographic or seasonal parameter.

Assessment of classification and severity of rhinitis

Patients were categorized as having intermittent or persistent rhinitis according to the ARIA classification.1

Outcome measures

Quality of life was assessed by the RQLQ.17 In this questionnaire, patients rate the degree of impairment during the preceding week by responding to each of the 28 items and using a 7-point scale on which a score of 0 indicates no impairment and a score of 6 maximal impairment. The questionnaire provides an overall score and scores in 7 domains: limitation of activities, sleep, non–hay fever symptoms, practical problems, nasal symptoms, eye symptoms, and emotions.

Patients also completed the WPAI-AS questionnaire18 and the Jenkins questionnaire on sleep.21

Patients were asked to fill in the RQLQ and WPAI-AS questionnaire and to send them by mail to the central monitoring office.

Data analysis

Although some of the variables are normally distributed, others are not. We therefore choose to use nonparametric statistics for all variables. The Kruskal-Wallis test with Bonferroni-Dunn post hoc analysis and chi² were used. Data are expressed in medians and percentiles.

Because allergy was confirmed in only 55.4% of the patients, we conducted a subanalysis and compared the patients with a proven diagnosis of allergy with those without allergy diagnosis. We found no significant difference for sex, age, symptoms, and the RQLQ global score. It was then decided to use the complete database for the report of the data without segregation between patients with a demonstrated allergy diagnosis and those with a probable diagnosis of allergy.

The effect of treatment was also studied. There was no effect of treatment regarding sex, age, symptoms, and the RQLQ global score. We then decided to use the complete database for the report of the data without segregation between treated and untreated patients.

Because there was an imbalance among the number of patients in the 4 ARIA classes, the β error was calculated.

RESULTS

Demographic characteristics of the patients

The demographic characteristics of the patients are presented in Table I. Except for age, there was no difference in sex ratio, socioeconomic status, or smoking between the 4 ARIA classes.

Repartition of patients in the 4 ARIA classes

Two hundred thirty-three patients (7.6%) could not be classified and were excluded from the analysis. Mild intermittent rhinitis was diagnosed in 112 patients (4%), mild persistent rhinitis in 85 (3%), moderate/severe intermittent rhinitis in 1183 (42%), and moderate/severe persistent rhinitis in 1436 (51%).

Patients with moderate/severe intermittent rhinitis had a significantly (P < .01) shorter number of symptomatic days per week and a significantly shorter duration of consecutive symptomatic weeks (P < .01) than those with persistent rhinitis (Fig 1). Patients with persistent rhinitis usually had symptoms every day of the week.

Nonnasal symptoms

Nonnasal symptoms are presented in Table I. Ocular symptoms, loss of smell, and headache were similar in mild intermittent or persistent rhinitis, and only conjunctivitis was significantly more severe in moderate/severe intermittent or persistent rhinitis. Asthma prevalence increased from mild rhinitis (15.1% and 16.5%) to moderate/severe persistent rhinitis (22.8%; Table I).

QOL

Most patients were able to fill in the RQLQ questionnaire. The rate of response to the different domains ranged from 85.5% to 92.0%. Overall and individual domain scores in the RQLQ were significantly different in the 4 ARIA classes (Table II). Patients with mild intermittent and persistent rhinitis had similar total and specific QOL scores. However, the lack of difference between these 2 groups may be related to the low number of subjects because of an insufficient power of the test. Patients with moderate/severe rhinitis had a significantly higher score. Patients with moderate/severe persistent rhinitis had a significantly higher score than those with moderate/severe intermittent rhinitis.

Sleep

Most patients were able to fill in the Jenkins questionnaire. The overall assessment in this questionnaire was significantly different in the 4 ARIA classes (Table III). Patients with mild intermittent and persistent rhinitis had similar scores. However, the lack of difference between these 2 groups may be related to the lower number of subjects because of an insufficient power of the test. Patients with moderate/severe intermittent or persistent rhinitis had a significantly higher global score.

Work productivity

Most patients were able to fill in the WPAI-AS questionnaire. There was no loss of work days incurred by
rhinitis (data not shown). The overall assessment of work and individual scores in the WPAI-AS questionnaire were significantly different in the 4 ARIA classes (Table III). Patients with mild intermittent and persistent rhinitis had similar scores. However, the lack of difference between these 2 groups may be related to the lower number of subjects because of an insufficient power of the test. Patients with moderate/severe intermittent or persistent rhinitis had significantly higher scores only for loss of work productivity.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, performed in general practices on a large number of patients with allergic rhinitis, it was found that almost 93% of the subjects had moderate/severe rhinitis according to the ARIA classification. The severity of the rhinitis was having more of an effect on QOL, sleep, daily activities, and work performance than the duration.

One of the problems of the study is the imbalance between the number of patients with mild and moderate/severe rhinitis. This result was surprising because patients were seen in primary care. This suggests that patients consult a physician for allergic rhinitis only when they have severe symptoms. An important question to be addressed is the prevalence of severe allergic rhinitis in the general population and among subjects with symptoms of rhinitis who do not consult a physician. Recently, Bachau and Durham found that, in the general population, the majority of patients with allergic rhinitis have mild rhinitis.

The imbalance between groups led us to calculate the $\beta$ error for some parameters. It was found that the power of the statistical analysis was insufficient to make a definite conclusion for the groups of patients with mild intermittent and mild persistent rhinitis. However, an alternative explanation would be that using the ARIA mild intermittent and mild persistent rhinitis definitions may not identify groups that have clinical meaningful outcome differences between them.
The diagnosis of rhinitis may be determined by using scores,\(^\text{23,24}\) but it appears that simple diagnostic criteria can be used to diagnose the common nasal allergies with a very high certainty.\(^\text{25}\) In the current study, allergy was tested in 55.4% of subjects and, because this study examined outcomes in primary care settings (rather than in specialty practices), the lack of objective testing for allergy in many patients reflects actual practice. We analyzed separately patients with and without an objective analysis of allergy, and we found no significant difference for the outcome measures studied, rhinitis severity was more important than duration. These results should be taken into consideration within the update of the ARIA guidelines in 2006. It is surprising that treatment for rhinitis has no effect regarding symptoms and RQLQ global score. Many patients with allergic rhinitis consulting in primary care have a significantly greater score than normal subjects of a similar age.\(^\text{27}\) For all of these reasons, patients with intermittent and persistent rhinitis or QOL.\(^\text{17}\) In the current study, allergy was taken into consideration within the update of the ARIA criteria, which combine symptom scores and the effect of rhinitis on daily activities and sleep.\(^\text{1}\) Patients were included over a period of 1 year to overcome the possible seasonal differences. However, in this study, it was found that patients with intermittent and persistent rhinitis are distributed in both seasonal and perennial rhinitis groups. These results confirm the study of Demoly et al\(^\text{13}\) and Bachau and Durham\(^\text{14,15}\) showing that seasonal and perennial rhinitis are not synonymous with intermittent and persistent rhinitis.

It has been recognized for many years that seasonal and perennial allergic rhinitis impair QOL,\(^\text{16,17}\) and this study confirms these data in patients with both intermittent and persistent rhinitis. The results of RQLQ are similar to previous studies performed with patients with allergic rhinitis in France\(^\text{26}\) and show that all patients with allergic rhinitis consulting in primary care have a significantly greater score than normal subjects of a similar age.\(^\text{27}\) For all of the outcome measures studied, rhinitis severity was more important than duration. These results should be taken into consideration within the update of the ARIA guidelines in 2006. It is surprising that treatment for rhinitis has no effect regarding symptoms and RQLQ global score. Many patients with allergic rhinitis do not have well controlled disease despite treatment following guidelines. These patients still have moderate to severe symptoms and, as shown by this study, a QOL similar to untreated patients with symptoms of the same severity.

Sleep was also impaired in patients with allergic rhinitis. Many other studies have shown that allergic rhinitis impairs sleep,\(^\text{28,29}\) and one of the RQLQ items is
sleep. However, no other study exists assessing sleep disturbances in such a large number of patients, and there was no clear correlation with disease severity and/or duration. Although in the ARIA, classification sleep impairment should place the patients in the moderate/severe category, some patients with mild disease and supposedly no sleep impairment also have sleep disturbances. Sleep was impaired in intermittent and persistent rhinitis, and rhinitis severity was more important than duration.

Loss of smell was common, as previously reported in allergic rhinitis. In the current study, hyposmia was associated with the severity of the disease. Although it is commonly accepted that work is impaired by allergic rhinitis, no large study exists in general practices assessing the effect of nasal symptoms on work performance. Daily activities and work productivity were also impaired in patients placed in all 4 ARIA categories, but again, rhinitis severity was more important than duration. The number of adolescents was low, and school performance may not have been accurately assessed in the current study.

This study showed that approximately 90% of patients with allergic rhinitis consulting general practitioners have moderate/severe symptoms that are impairing daily activities, sleep, and work. It seems therefore that the term moderate/severe should be replaced by severe. A study in the general population is required, however, to assess the effect of allergic rhinitis.
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